



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO:	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/628,687	07/28/2003	Toshikazu Kanaoka	0941.68224	7113
7590 05/25/2006		EXAMINER		
Patrick G. Burns, Esq.			GUPTA, PARUL H	
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
300 South Wacker Dr.			2627	
Chicago, IL 60606			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/628,687	KANAOKA ET AL.	KANAOKA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Parul Gupta	2627		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition o</li></ol>	This action is non-final.  wance except for formal ma		merits is	
Disposition of Claims			·	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7,9-11 and 15-17 is/are rejected 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 8 and 12-14 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey rrection is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	v Summary (PTO-413)		
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	, <u> </u>	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTC 	)-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-17 are pending for examination as interpreted by the examiner. The IDS filed on 7/28/03 was considered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dogliotti et al., US Patent 4,121,165.

Dogliotti et al. teaches a data reproduction device for sampling an analog signal reproduced from a recording medium based on a synchronization clock signal synchronized with the reproduced signal (done by "UCE" of figure 1), the data reproduction device comprising: an analog-to-digital (A/D) conversion part (shown in figure 2) that converts the reproduced signal into a first digital signal based on a first clock signal (CK1); an interpolation part (element UIN of figure 2) that interpolates the first digital signal so that the first digital signal is equalized with a second digital signal sampled based on a second clock signal (CK2 and CK3) having a frequency n times a frequency of the first clock signal (column 3, lines 29-37), the interpolation part providing an output based on the interpolation (X and Y of figure 1); an optimum phase detection part that is supplied with the output of said interpolation part and, based on the output, detects a phase error between an optimum point of the reproduced signal and the synchronization clock signal (done by "phase corrector" of figure 1); a phase correction part that corrects a phase of the reproduced signal based on the phase error (shown in

Art Unit: 2627

figure 1); and an information data start detection part that detects a start of information data based on the phase error (column 3, lines 64-68).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dogliotti et al., US Patent 4,121,165 in view of Lee, US Patent 6,055,119.

Regarding claim 2, Dogliotti et al. teaches the data reproduction device including all of the limitations of claims 2-5 (see rejection of claim 1) except for the limitations further recited in claims 2-5.

Lee teaches the further limitations of claim 2 of a device wherein a recording medium has recording tracks (shown in figure 5A) each having a phase detection region and an information data start detection region (functions of both are performed by the pre-amble area of figure 5A) to which regions a predetermined pattern is written (explained in column 5, lines 34-36), and wherein the optimum phase detection part of the data reproduction device detects a phase error between an optimum point of the predetermined pattern and the synchronization clock signal (column 8, lines 19-33).

Art Unit: 2627

Regarding claim 3, Lee teaches the further limitations of the data reproduction device as claimed in claim 2, wherein a predetermined dedicated pattern is recorded in the phase detection region and the information data start detection region (column 5, lines 34-36).

Regarding claim 4, Lee teaches the further limitations of the data reproduction device as claimed in claim 2, wherein the phase detection region and the information data start detection region comprise a single region (both functions performed by the pre-amble area as explained in column 8, lines 19-33).

Regarding claim 5, Lee teaches the further limitations of the data reproduction device as claimed in claim 2, wherein the predetermined pattern differs between adjacent recording tracks (column 4, lines 58-60).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the concept of the given recording tracks as taught by Lee into the system of Dogliotti et al. This would to provide a circuit for adaptively processing symbol timing recovery and equalization using known signal sequence (column 3, lines 15-18) of Lee.

4. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dogliotti et al., US Patent 4,121,165 in view of Tajiri et al., US Patent 5,946,359.

Dogliotti et al. teaches the data reproduction device including all of the limitations of claims 6 and 7 (see rejection of claim 1) except for the limitations further recited in claims 6 and 7.

Art Unit: 2627

Regarding claim 6, Tajiri et al. teaches the further limitations of the data reproduction device wherein said interpolation part is an interpolation digital filter having coefficients substantially equal to an impulse response of a transmission characteristic of a signal recording and reproduction channel for the recording medium (column 5, lines 19-25).

Regarding claim 7, Tajiri et al. teaches the further limitations of the data reproduction device wherein said interpolation part comprises a plurality of FIR filters (given in column 5, lines 19-25) arranged in parallel (shown in figure 11), the FIR filters each having a different set of coefficients (given in column 14, lines 19-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the concept of the given filters as taught by Tajiri et al. into the system of Dogliotti et al. This would serve to provide a measuring apparatus which enables a data demodulation (or data detection) and an estimation of transmission parameters for a modulation signal from a digital equipment (column 3, lines 13-17 of Tajiri et al.)

5. Claims 9, 10, 11, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dogliotti et al., US Patent 4,121,165 in view of Tajiri et al., US Patent 5,946,359 in view of Lee, US Patent 6,055,119.

Dogliotti et al. teaches the data reproduction device including all of the limitations of claims 9, 10, 11, 15, and 16 (see rejection of claim 1) except for the limitations further recited in claims 9, 10, 11, 15, and 16.

Art Unit: 2627

Regarding claim 9, Dogliotti et al. teaches the further limitations of the data reproduction device wherein: said A/D conversion part converts the reproduced predetermined analog pattern into a first digital pattern (shown in figure 2) based on the first clock signal (CK1); said interpolation part (element UIN of figure 2) interpolates the first digital pattern so that the first digital pattern is equalized with a second digital pattern sampled based on the second clock signal (CK2 and CK3) having the frequency n times the frequency of the first clock signal (column 3, lines 29-37).

Dogliotti et al. does not but Tajiri et al. teaches said optimum phase detection part detects the optimum phase by performing a cross-correlation operation (shown in figure 8) between the interpolated digital pattern and data obtained by weighting the predetermined pattern, which is to be written to the phase detection region and the information data start detection region of the recording medium, with coefficients substantially equal to an impulse response of a transmission characteristic of a signal recording and reproduction channel for the recording medium (column 5, lines 19-25).

Dogliotti et al. in view of Tajiri et al. does not but Lee teaches that the recording medium has recording tracks (shown in figure 5A) each having a phase detection region and an information data start detection region (functions of both are performed by the pre-amble area of figure 5A) to which regions a predetermined pattern is written (explained in column 5, lines 34-36).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the concept of the given optimum phase detection part as taught by Tajiri et al. into the system of Dogliotti et al. This would serve to provide a measuring

Art Unit: 2627

apparatus which enables a data demodulation (or data detection) and an estimation of transmission parameters for a modulation signal from a digital equipment (column 3, lines 13-17 of Tajiri et al.). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the concept of the given recording tracks as taught by Lee into the system of Dogliotti et al. in view of Tajiri et al. This would to provide a circuit for adaptively processing symbol timing recovery and equalization using known signal sequence (column 3, lines 15-18) of Lee.

Regarding claim 10, Tajiri et al. teaches the further limitations of the data reproduction device wherein said optimum phase detection part comprises a cross-correlation part that performs the cross-correlation operation in parallel (shown in figure 8).

Regarding claim 11, Tajiri et al. teaches the further limitations of the data reproduction device wherein said optimum phase detection part comprises an optimum phase comparison and selection part (element 122 of figure 8), the optimum phase comparison and selection part comprising: a storage part (elements 156 and 157 of figure 10) storing a maximum cross-correlation value, an optimum interpolation signal number (output from element 24 of figure 5), and an optimum phase position (referred to as "correct carrier phase" and "correct clock phase" in column 9, lines 31-34); and a comparison part that compares a new cross-correlation value obtained by the cross-correlation operation with the maximum cross-correlation value, and replaces the maximum cross-correlation value, the optimum interpolation signal number, and the

Art Unit: 2627

optimum phase position stored in said storage part with the new cross-correlation value, and a new optimum interpolation signal number and a new optimum phase position corresponding to the new cross-correlation value, respectively, if the new cross-correlation value is larger than the maximum cross-correlation value. Figure 7 shows how these calculated values are initial value estimates that are then fine corrected based on further calculations.

Regarding claim 15, Tajiri et al. teaches the further limitations of the data reproduction device wherein: said phase correction part (element 122 of figure 8) comprises a selector that corrects the phase of the reproduced signal by selecting an interpolation signal interpolated by said interpolation part in accordance with the stored or new optimum interpolation signal number output from said optimum phase detection part; and said information data start detection part comprises: an optimum phase output counter that detects the start of the information data out of the selected interpolation signal based on the stored or new optimum phase position output from said optimum phase detection part (it would be obvious to use the stored or new data to detect information); and a signal selection part that re-samples the selected interpolation signal into a signal synchronized with the synchronization clock signal (explained in column 22, lines 14-20).

Regarding claim 16, Tajiri et al. teaches the further limitations of the data reproduction device as claimed in claim 15, wherein: said optimum phase output counter outputs only portion of the selected interpolation signal which part corresponds to the information data; and said signal selection part re-samples the output portion of

the selected interpolation signal so that the re-sampled output portion synchronizes with the synchronization clock signal (explained in column 22, lines 14-20).

6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dogliotti et al., US Patent 4,121,165 in view of Kimoto et al., US Patent 4,519,056.

Regarding claim 17, Dogliotti et al. teaches a data reproduction apparatus (shown in figure 1), comprising: a data reproduction device as set forth in claim 1.

Dogliotti et al. does not but Kimoto et al. teaches an optical head (element 3 of figure 1A) that emits light to the recording medium (from the laser diode of element 4 of figure 1A) and converts light reflected therefrom into an electrical signal (done by photodiode of element 10 of figure 1A as explained in column 3, lines 48-49); an AGC and equalizer part that is supplied with the electrical signal output from said optical head and outputs the analog signal to said data reproduction device (performed by "digitizer" of element 19 of figure 1B); and a demodulator (element 20 of figure 1B) that demodulates an output of said data reproduction device.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the concept of the optical head using the data reproduction device as taught by Kimoto et al. into the system of Dogliotti et al. This would provide a data recording apparatus which allows continuous recording even if a recording error such as overlay recording or a tracking error occurs (column 1, lines 58-61 of Kimoto et al.).

# Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

Art Unit: 2627

Horricontrol Hamber: 10/020,00

claim and any intervening claims. The claim would be allowable over the prior art of record since the cited references taken individually or in combination fails to particularly disclose the clause of the claims that refers to the given interpolation method.

Claims 12-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The claims would be allowable over the prior art of record since the cited references taken individually or in combination fails to particularly disclose the clause of the claims that refers to the given comparison gate.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Parul Gupta whose telephone number is 571-272-5260. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 8:30 AM to 7 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea Wellington can be reached on 571-272-4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,687 Page 11

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PHG 5/18/06

THANG V. TRAN